Group Exercise: Review of NITAG Composition and Functions

Format:

Several exercises are presented which guide participants through a review and evaluation of the composition and functioning of their National Immunization Technical Advisory Group (NITAG). Total activity time = 1 hour.

Learning objectives:

Through the exercises presented, participants will

- understand the strengths and the weaknesses of the composition and functioning of their NITAG, and
- be poised to develop strategies to improve them by developing / updating / revising relevant documents (NITAG Terms of Reference, Charter, Declaration of Interests, Standard Operating Procedures; Ministry of Health orders)

Instructions:

Read the first exercise and complete the table. Be prepared to present.

Time required for completion:

20-30 min for each scenario

Exercise 2A-1: Review of NITAG composition (15 mins + 5 mins to present)

→ Using the table below, individually review each aspect of the composition of your NITAG by comparing it to the corresponding WHO recommendation. Then complete the table by listing the strengths of this aspect in your country and areas for improvement. Discuss with your group and present the aspects that were common in your group, the features that were different, and what are your recommended areas for improvement. Keep your notes handy as it will be useful for the activities later.

Aspect of membership	WHO recommendations	Strengths in your country	Areas for improvement in your country
Voting members			
# of core voting members	10-15 members is ideal		
	 critical mass for decision-making 		
	enables manageable discussion		
	• allows functioning if all members are		
	not present (quorum required by		
	internal NITAG procedures is ideally		
	half + one members)		
	 minimizes budget for meetings 		
	• odd number useful for tie-breaking		
Technical expertise	At least 5 areas of expertise from these		
	areas: clinical medicine (pediatrics, adult		
	medicine, geriatrics), epidemiology,		
	infectious disease, microbiology, public		
	health, immunology, vaccinology,		
	immunization program, health systems and		
	delivery, health economist, social scientist.		
Independence from MoH	Core members should not be MoH or		
and from pharmaceutical	immunization programme employees (nor		
companies	have a direct or indirect supervisory		
	relationship with them). In addition, they		
	must also not be associated or employed		
	by pharmaceutical companies.		
Non-voting members			
Ex-officio	Selected due to their key positions in		
	government agencies that relate to		
	immunization, i.e., MoH officials (heads of		
	departments, specialists) vaccine licensing		
	bodies, vaccine control laboratory,		
	responsible for immunization programs,		
·	planning, education, finance.		
Liaison	Represent important professional societies,		
	other national advisory committee, and key		
	technical partners (WHO, UNICEF).		

Participation of industry and observers Membership Terms Selection of Voting	Industry should not be allowed in meetings and do not have the power to vote, but occasionally they can be invited to highly structured settings to provide specific information.	
Members	senior govt officials through a well- defined transparent process.	
Rotation of Membership	Limited duration of service for the core members (5-6 years), staggering of members to provide continuity and ensure the terms of all members do not expire at the same time and can help with orientation of new members. (<i>Small countries with limited number of</i> <i>experts at the national level may</i> <i>choose not to rotate NITAG members.</i>)	
Voting	Only core members should have the power to vote on NITAG's discussions. Members of the secretariat, ex-officio members, liaison members, invited experts can contribute to discussions but cannot vote.	
Termination	Written SOPs must specify the termination process method and the authority who can initiate the process. Possible reasons for termination of membership include – not attending a specified number of consecutive meetings, conflict of interest, breach of confidentiality etc.	

Exercise 2A-2: Review of NITAG modes of functioning (15 mins for individual reflection + 15 mins for group SWOT discussion and presentation).

→ Using the table below, individually review each aspect of how your NITAG functions by comparing it to the corresponding WHO recommendation. Then complete the table by listing the strengths of this aspect in your country and areas for improvement. Based on your notes, discuss it with your group and present a SWOT analysis of your group (activity 3A - 1).

Aspect of functioning	WHO recommendations	Strengths in your country	Areas for improvement in your country
Declaration of	Should be done in writing by all		
interests	members before appointment and		
	verbally before each meeting.		
	NITAGs should develop a policy to		
	manage conflict of interests.		
Confidentiality	Members and special invitees		
agreement	should sign agreement stating that		
	they will keep information		
	confidential.		
Open versus closed	Countries select option		
meetings	appropriate for them: open		
	meetings increase transparency		
	and may improve public		
	acceptance but may make the		
	process less efficient.		
Process for agenda	Items should come from MoH, but		
development	NITAG and stakeholders may		
	suggest issues.		
Process to review and	Prior to meetings, specific		
share evidence	questions should be articulated to		
	the NITAG. Agenda and		
	background documents circulated		
	at least 2 weeks in advance of		
	meetings.		

Working groups	For gathering, analyzing, and preparing information to help	
	NITAGs with decision making.	
	Comprised of 1-2 voting members	
	with additional subject matter	
	experts.	
Meeting frequency	At least once a year, with	
	flexibility for additional meetings	
	as needed.	
Decision by vote or	NITAGs need to decide if decisions	
consensus	of its core members will be made	
	by majority vote or by consensus,	
	and how many members need to	
	be present (quorum) to formulate	
	recommendations.	
Recordkeeping and	Summary minutes of each	
communications	meeting focusing on main	
(optional)	conclusions and recommendations	
	should be available and endorsed	
	by NITAG within 60 days of	
	meeting. Minutes can be public or	
	private. Clear process and format	
	for communicating	
	recommendations to decision	
	makers (who, to whom, when,	
	how formatted)	
	,	

Exercise 3A-1: Based on your notes from activity 2A-1 and 2 above, present a SWOT analysis of your group.

SWOT Analysis – Group Activity



Strengths:

Opportunities:

Weakness:

Threats

Exercise 3A-2: Brainstorming potential changes to the NITAG Charter (10 mins).

→ Based on the gaps identified in your discussions and reflections, complete the table below by noting recommended changes to implement in your Charter and the MoH order for your NITAG.